

UNITED STATEDEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Pat int and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/068,253

06/09/98

SHIMURA

146.1286

HM22/0818

CHARLES A MUSERLIAN BIERMAN MUSERLIAN & LUCAS 600 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK NY 10016 MOEZIE, F
ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

08/18/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

الوعلال	
Pro Pr	

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/068,253

Applicant(s)

SHIMURA et al

Examiner

Fatemeh Moezie

Group Art Unit 1654

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 28, 1999	8/18/9	
This action is FINAL .		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	ithin the period for response will cause the	
Disposition of Claims		
	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
☐ Claim(s)		
☐ Claims are subj		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTG The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the is/are objected to by the is is is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on is is	Examiner. Approved disapproved. C.C. § 119(a)-(d). documents have been	
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	· _	

FM

Serial Number: 09/068,253 Page 2

Art Unit: 1654

Applicant's arguments filed 05/28/99, paper no. 7, have been fully considered but they are persuasive-in part.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-5 and 7-11 remain rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph because: the claims as written are indefinite and confusing.

Claim 1 is confusing and indefinite as to what is being claimed. If a composition is intended to claim the preamble of the claim would have to be separated from the body of the claim by a transition phrase such as comprising or consisting of. The claim as amended lacks the transition phrase, for example.

In claim 3 the terms "a concentration" and "an aqueous", lines 2 and 3, respectively lack basis in claim 2 from which it depends. If an aqueous solution of a bone --- is intended to claim, the preamble of the claim must carry the said language. Furthermore, if the above terms refer to the previous claims, then "the" should precede said terms.

In claims 3, 4 and 5 the term "material" lacks antecedent basis in claims 1 and 2 from which they depend.

In claim 7 the subject being treated does not appear in the preamble of the claim drawn to a method for treating---.

Serial Number: 09/068,253 Page 3

Art Unit: 1654

The rejection of claims 1-5 and 7-11 under 35 USC 103 (a) over RON in et al, WO 93/00050 in view of The Condensed Chemical Dictionary is withdrawn in view of the applicants' remarks.

NEW GROUND OF REJECTION

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 94/1483.

The reference discloses a therapeutic material comprising a protein and a hydrophilic polymer as a carrier. See line 4, page 15, for morphogenetic factor and page 10, lines 13-14 for polyoxyethylene-polyoxypropylene copolymer as a component of the composition. In addition the term aqueous solution is defined on page 18, lines 29+. Because claim 1 is drawn to a subject matter taught by the art, the claim is anticipated by the art.

Claims 2-5 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 94/1483 A in view of JP 62-135431 or RON et al.

The primary reference's teachings have been disclosed above. However, the primary reference does not teach a method for treating osteogenetic disorders.

Serial Number: 09/068,253 Page 4

Art Unit: 1654

The secondary references teach the use of bone morphogenetic factors in treating bone disorders. See the entire documents, especially RON et al wherein the most preferred osteogenetic substance is BMP-2, page 3, line 34.

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time the invention was made to use a bone morphogenetic factor and a hydrophilic polymer of the primary reference for treating bone disorders.

Some of the references cited in the earlier IDS, are missing form the file. The references are the ones cited in the International Search Report. Applicant is requested to submit the missing references to PTO to complete the records. Further, according to the International-Preliminary Examination Report the references cited therein are relevant to the instant case. A second copy of IDS is being forwarded to the applicant.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Moezie at telephone number (703) 305-4508 or Mr. Woodward (SPE) at 308-4028.

T. MOEZIL, TOUR PRIMARY EXAMINE ART UNIT 1885